EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

CARTER, LEDYARD & MILBURN

COUNSELLORS AT LAW 1350 I STREET, N. W. SUITE 870

2 WALL STREET NEW YORK, N. Y. 10005 (212) 732-3200 WASHINGTON, D. C. 20005

114 WEST 47TH STREET NEW YORK, N. Y. 10036 (212) 944-7711

(202) 898-1515 FAX: (202) 898-1521

July 28, 1995

RECEIVED

JUL' 28 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

BY HAND

Mr. William F. Caton, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

> Re: Petition for Reconsideration in CC Docket No. 92-115 -- Ex Parte Presentation

Dear Mr. Caton:

This is to provide notice, pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's Rules, that Carol A. Patton, President of C-Two-Plus Technology ("C2+"), Dr. Richard C. Levine, a C2+ consultant and the undersigned, as counsel for C2+, met yesterday with the following Commission representatives:

Regina M. Keeney - Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

Daniel B. Phythyon - Senior Legal Advisor to the Chief, Wireless

Telecommunications Bureau

Rosalind K. Allen - Acting Chief, Commercial Wireless Division,

Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

Steve Markendorff - Chief, Broadband Branch, Commercial Wireless

Division

Sally Novak - Chief, Legal Branch, Commercial Wireless

Division

B.C. Jackson, Jr. - Engineering Advisor to the Chief, Commercial

Wireless Division

Barbara Espín - Commercial Wireless Division

John W. Berresford - Attorney, Office of General Counsel, Division

of Competition

No. of Copies rec'd O+2 List A B C D E The following individuals also attended the meeting on behalf of the organizations noted:

Allan Angus - Japan Radio Corporation, Inc./Telecom-

munications Industry Association

Grier Raclin - Gardner, Caston & Douglas/Telecommunications

Industry Association

Roberta Breden - Telecommunications Industry Association

Kristen Heavener - MT Communications

Mike Heavener - MT Communications/Independent Cellular

Services Association

Ron Foster - Independent Cellular Services Association

William Osborn - Ericsson Corporation

James Caile - Motorola

Brent E. Marshall - Attorney, Department of Justice Antitrust

Division, Telecommunications Task Force

Michael F. Altschul - Vice President and General Counsel, Cellular

Telecommunications Industry Association

Andrea D. Williams - Staff Counsel, Cellular Telecommunications

Industry Association

Roseanna DeMaria - McCaw Cellular Communications, Inc.

Kathleen A. Massey - Vice President for External Affairs, McCaw

Cellular Communications, Inc.

Tom McClure - Fraud Task Force, Cellular Telecommunications

Industry Association

Les Owens - GTE Laboratories Inc.

Paul Schomburg - Matsushita Electric Corporation of America

The matters discussed were those identified in the attached agenda; issues raised in various pending petitions for reconsideration and other submissions in the record in this proceeding, including the "Report on ESN Emulation and Cellular Phone Extension Service" prepared by Dr. Levine and submitted by C2+ on July 7, 1995; and various materials provided by representatives of the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association at the meeting.

In addition, pursuant to the request of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, C2+ agreed to prepare and circulate to the Commission personnel and to all other parties listed above on or before August 10, 1995 proposed modifications to Rule 22.919 which would authorize the transfer of an electronic serial number ("ESN") for the limited purpose of providing an authorized cellular subscriber with "extension" cellular phones which emit the same ESN as the cellular phone which the subscriber already has registered on the system.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me.

Very truly yours,

Timothy J Fitzgibbon

Counsel for

C-Two-Plus Technology

TJF:kdd Enclosure

CC: Regina M. Keeney, Esquire
Daniel B. Phythyon, Esquire
Rosalind K. Allen, Esquire
Steve Markendorff, Esquire
Sally Novak, Esquire
B.C. Jackson, Jr., Esquire
John W. Berresford, Esquire
Barbara Espin, Esquire

Ex-Parte Presentations

Addressing Petitions for Reconsideration of RECEIVED

FCC Rule and Policy on

Serial Numbers

JUL' 28 1995 Adopted in CC Docket No. 92-115

OFICE OF SECURIOR COMMISSION

AGENDA

1. Opening Remarks

A. Welcoming Remarks

FCC Staff

B. Introductions

Attendees

C. Ground Rules; Limit on Scope of Meeting

FCC Staff

- 1. Topics to Discuss:
 - a. New Rule Section 22.919 (under reconsideration by FCC)
 - b. Policy Statement on Altering the ESN of a Cellular Telephone or Knowing Use of a Cellular Telephone with Altered ESN (under reconsideration by FCC)
- II. Rule Section 22.919 Electronic Serial Numbers
 - A. Rule is outgrowth of OET-53, Cellular Compatibility specification; intended to assist in reducing fraud losses of cellular carriers. It sets forth design criteria to be met by manufacturers as a condition of type acceptance of cellular telephones.
 - B. Issues -
 - 1. Will new Section 22.919 assist in reducing fraud losses of cellular carriers?
 - 2. Is it feasible for manufacturers of cellular telephones to comply with new Section 22.919?
 - 3. Would it be impossible or much more difficult to repair or update cellular telephones that comply with Section 22.919?

JUL-26-1995 10:17 2024181412 96% P.02 III. Policy Statement on Altering ESN or Knowing Use of a Cellular Telephone with Altered ESN; [see Part 22 Rewrite Report and Order, paragraphs 60-62]

A. Policy statement says:

U4/ = U/ 3a

....

- 1. Knowing use of a cellular telephone with an altered ESN violates FCC rule (§ 22.377) requiring use of type accepted equipment.
- 2. Use of equipment that carrier has not authorized for use on its system constitutes violation of Section 301 of Communications Act of 1934, as amended (47 U.S.C. §301).
- 3. Any individual or company that knowingly alters cellular telephone to cause it to transmit ESN other than the one originally installed by manufacturer is aiding in violation of FCC rules.
- 4. Use of C2+ altered cellular telephones constitutes a violation of the Communication Act and FCC Rules.

B. Statement is based on following assumptions:

- 1. Simultaneous use of two or more cellular telephones emitting the same ESN without the licensee's permission could cause problems in some cellular systems such as erroneous tracking or billing.
- 2. Use of such phones without the licensee's permission could deprive cellular carriers of monthly per telephone revenues to which they are entitled.
- Use of such phones would not be authorized by the carrier and would, therefore, not fall within the carrier's blanket license, and thus would be unlicensed, violating Section 301 of the Communications Act.

C. Issues -

- 1. Does simultaneous use of two or more cellular telephones emitting the same ESN cause problems in some cellular systems? Does it make any difference whether the licensee gives permission (i.e., do problems result because the licensee does not know about the cloned telephone or would problems happen anyway)?
- 2. Do cellular service contracts specify to customers that there would be an additional monthly fee plus airtime charges for additional telephones?
- 3. Does the typical cellular subscriber agreement authorize the use of only specific equipment, or does it authorize the use of any type accepted equipment the subscriber wishes to employ?

JUL-26-1995 10:18 2024181412 96% P.03